

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/604,480	07/24/2003		PEYMAN AGHSSA	202-1378	1479	
32242	7590	05/18/2004		EXAMINER		
DYKEMA		-	PEDDER, DENNIS H			
2723 SOUT SUITE 400	H STATE S	STREET	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ANN ARBO	R, MI 48	104	3612			
				DATE MAILED: 05/18/200-	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)	- 					
		10/604,480		AGHSSA ET AL.	2					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Dennis H. Pe	edder	3612						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the c	over sheet with the co	orrespondence ad	dress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).										
Status										
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on									
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.									
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is									
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.										
Dispositi	on of Claims									
 4) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 										
Applicati	on Papers									
9) 10)	The specification is objected to by the Examir The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to th Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre The oath or declaration is objected to by the B	ccepted or b) ne drawing(s) be lection is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	, ,					
Priority u	inder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
Attachmen	ile)									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/24/03. 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:										

Application/Control Number: 10/604,480

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 2-6, 8-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims to "generally orthogonal to said respective first and second sections" and "orthogonal to said second section" and "transverse to said second section" claims 2 and 3, and "laterally disposed flanges", claim 6 are lacking in clear frame of reference as the sections have many features including gussets 56, generally vertical walls 74, horizontal walls 64 and vertical walls at 50. Further, it is not clear if the orthogonal flanges are flanges 68/72 or 84 relative to the second section.

It is not clear what is intended by "flanges facing an inner surface", claim 8.

"Additionally laterally disposed flanges", claim 12 is not understood.

Claims purporting to describe in words a three dimensional structure of significant complexity must be precise.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3612

4. Claims 1, 3-6, 8, 10-12, 14, 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yoshihira et al..

Yoshihira et al. has rear rail 1 with first portion at 1a (fig. 5) and second portion 1A, each with a respective height with the height at 1a greater, neutralizing member 8 bridging the heights. Minimizing the effect of a moment arm created by the height differential is inherent in such a structure.

See laterally extending orthogonal flanges at both sections, figure 5, claim 3. Facing is not understood, but both sections, which are transverse to each other have flanges at right angles to the center of the sections. Predetermined distribution of energy is inherent with *any* structure.

The second section has a convex curve at 16 and a concave channel at its lower end in figure 5, separated by a length of the second section.

As to claim 6, the concave channel and convex member merge at the adjoining member at 8A, figure 5.

As to claim 12, the second section has numerous laterally disposed flanges for alignment.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various

Art Unit: 3612

claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

7. Claims 7, 13, 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Yoshihira et al..

The material of Yoshihira et al. is deemed to be steel as is conventional in this art.

Conversely, to use a conventional material, such as steel or aluminum is only common sense or an obvious expedient as there is significant data as to its performance over an extended period, thus minimizing warranty costs.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claims 2, 9, 15 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Ohta and Behnke et al. are cited to show further rear rail reinforcements.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis H. Pedder whose telephone number is (703) 308-2178. The examiner can normally be reached on 5:30-2:00.

Art Unit: 3612

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn D. Dayoan can be reached on (703) 308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dennis H. Pedder Primary Examiner Art Unit 3612

5/13/04

DHP